

U.S. Visitor Tracker Exit Profile& Market Segmentation Report

[ANTHOLOGY®]





Background and Methodology

- All surveys were partially interviewer administered. Upon completion of the surveys, Anthology's professional U.S. speaking interviewers doublechecked each questionnaire for consistency and completeness, while the respondent was present.
- A total of 100 departing U.S. visitors were randomly interviewed at the Guam A.B. Wonpat International Airport's departure and waiting areas.
- The margin of error for a sample of 100 is +/- 9.80 percentage points with a 95% confidence level. That is, if all U.S. visitors who traveled to Guam in the same time period were asked these questions, we can be 95% certain that their responses would not differ by +/- 9.80 percentage points.







Objectives

• To monitor the effectiveness of the U.S. seasonal campaigns in attracting U.S. visitors, refresh certain baseline data, to better understand the nature and economic value or impact of each of the targeted segments in the U.S. marketing plan.

• Identify significant determinants of visitor satisfaction, expenditures and the desire to return to Guam.







Key Highlighted Segments

- The specific objectives were:
 - To identify the most significant factors affecting overall visitor satisfaction.
 - To identify (for all visitors from the U.S.) the most important determinants of on-island spending.
















GENDER










MARITAL STATUS










MARITAL STATUS - TRACKING










AGE










AGE - TRACKING









HOUSEHOLD INCOME










TRAVEL PARTY










TRAVEL PARTY – TRACKING










TRAVEL PARTY – CHILD UNDER 18










TRAVEL PARTY SIZE










TRAVEL PARTY SIZE - TRACKING










TRIPS TO GUAM




Mean = 3.23 Median = 2







TRIPS TO GUAM – TRACKING










LENGTH OF STAY










LENGTH OF STAY – TRACKING




0.00							
	Qtr1 (Oct18- Dec18)	Qtr2 (Jan19- Mar19)	Qtr3 (Apr19- Jun19)	Qtr4 (Jul19- Sept19)		YTD	
→ MEAN		12.19				12.19	
→ MEDIAN		5				5	







OCCUPATION – Top Responses (10%+)


















ORGANIZED TOUR GROUP










ORGANIZED TOUR GROUP – TRACKING










ONLINE BOOKING










ONLINE BOOKING – TRACKING










TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS










TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS – TRACKING










PREPAID PACKAGE TRIP










PREPAID PACKAGE TRIP










ACCOMMODATIONS (5%+)










TRAVEL MOTIVATIONS (10%+)

















PREPAID PACKAGE EXPENDITURES

• \$3,762.27 = overall mean average prepaid package expense (for entire travel party) by respondent


 \$1,966.52 = overall mean average per person prepaid package expenditures







PREPAID PACKAGE – TRAVEL PARTY EXPENSE TRACKING










PREPAID PACKAGE – PER PERSON EXPENSE TRACKING




\$0	Qtr1 (Oct18- Dec18)	Qtr2 (Jan19- Mar19)	Qtr3 (Apr19- Jun19)	Qtr4 (Jul19- Sept19)	YTD
	DCCIO	IVIGIT5)	341113)	Scpt13)	
→ MEAN		\$1,966.52			\$1,966.52
→ MEDIAN		\$1,010.00			\$1,010.00







PREPAID PACKAGE – BREAKDOWN










PREPAID PACKAGE – BREAKDOWN









AIRFARE – FIT TRAVELER

• \$1,623.45 = overall mean average airfare expense (for entire travel party) by respondent

• \$1,504.05 = overall mean average **per person** airfare expenditures







AIRFARE – FIT TRAVELER (GROUP) TRACKING










AIRFARE – FIT TRAVELER (Per Person) TRACKING









ONISLE EXPENDITURES

• \$1,851.59 = overall mean average expense (for entire travel party) by respondent

• \$1,545.05 = overall mean average **per person** expenditures







ONISLE – TRAVEL PARTY TRACKING










ONISLE – PER PERSON TRACKING










ONISLE – PER DAY SPENDING










ONISLE – TRAVEL PARTY/ PER DAY TRACKING










ONISLE – PER PERSON/ PER DAY TRACKING




\$0	Qtr1 (Oct18- Dec18)	Qtr2 (Jan19- Mar19)	Qtr3 (Apr19- Jun19)	Qtr4 (Jul19- Sept19)	YTD
→ MEAN	200207	\$249.75	73.1.257	0001237	\$249.75
→ MEDIAN		\$145.00			\$145.00







ONISLE – ACCOMMODATIONS










ONISLE – ACCOMMODATIONS TRACKING










ONISLE – FOOD & BEVERAGE










ONISLE – TOTAL FOOD & BEVERAGE TRACKING




\$0							
ŞŪ	Qtr1 (Oct18-Dec18)	Qtr2 (Jan19-Mar19)	Qtr3 (Apr19-Jun19)	Qtr4 (Jul19-Sept19)			
→ MEAN		\$412.97					
→ MEDIAN		\$200.00					







ONISLE – ENTERAINMENT & RECREATION










ONISLE – TOTAL ENTERTAINMENT & RECREATION TRACKING










ONISLE – TRANSPORTATION










ONISLE – TOTAL TRANSPORTATION TRACKING










ONISLE – SHOPPING










ONISLE – TOTAL SHOPPING TRACKING









ONISLE – MISCELLANEOUS










ONISLE – MISCELLANEOUS TRACKING









TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PERSON (ONISLE + PREPAID)

• \$2,880.46 = Mean average per person


• \$1,620.00 = Median amount spent per person







TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PERSON TRACKING










GUAM AIRPORT EXPENDITURE TRACKING


















OVERALL SATISFACTION – 7PT SCALE










OVERALL SATISFACTION – 10PT SCALE










SWOT – POSITIVE ASPECT OF TRIP










SWOT – NEGATIVE ASPECT OF TRIP










SATISFACTION – ENTERTAINMENT










SATISFACTION – SHOPPING










SATISFACTION - DINING










SATISFACTION – BEACHES










SATISFACTION - PARKS










SATISFACTION - ROADS










SATISFACTION – SIGHTSEEING AREAS










SATISFACTION – SAFETY & SECURITY










SATISFACTION – ACCOMMODATIONS










BRAND ADVOCACY










BRAND LOYALTY










TRIP EXPECTATIONS










TRIP EXPECTATIONS – TRACKING


















SHOPPING AREAS – PENETRATION










ACTIVITIES – SIGHTSEEING










ACTIVITIES – RECREATION










ACTIVITIES – SPORTS











ACTIVITIES – HISTORY, CULTURE, ARTS





ACTIVITIES – ENTERTAINMENT & DINING










LOCAL ATTRACTIONS – TOP RESPONSES (5%+)










LOCAL CULTURE – OBSTACLES


















PRE-ARRIVAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION










ONISLE SOURCES OF INFORMATION










ONLINE SOURCES OF INFORMATION










ONLINE SOURCES OF INFORMATION


















IMPACT OF AIRPORT IMMIGRATION SVC ON FUTURE TRAVEL TO GUAM










AIRPORT – SECURITY/ IMMIGRATION ISSUES

















ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

- Dependent variables: total per person on island expenditure and overall satisfaction (numeric)
- Independent variables are satisfaction with different aspects of trip to Guam (numeric).
- Use of linear stepwise regression to derive predictors (drivers) of on-island expenditure and overall satisfaction, Since both the independent and dependent variables are numeric.
- This determines the significant (p=<.05)drivers and the percentage of the dependent variables accounted for by each significant predictor and by all of them combined.
- The predictor variables (drivers) are ranked on the percentage they account for the dependent variable. The top two ranked independent variables generally account for the largest percentages of the dependent variable and are thus the best predictors (drivers) of the dependent variable.







DRIVERS - OVERALL SATISFACTION

Comparison of Drivers of Overall Satisfaction 2nd Qtr. 2019	
	2nd Qtr. 2019
Drivers:	rank
Entertainment	1
Shopping	
Dining	
Beaches	
Parks	
Roads	2
Sightseeing Areas	
Being a safe and secure destination	
% of Overall Satisfaction Accounted For:	42.5%
NOTE: Only significant drivers are included.	







DRIVERS - OVERALL SATISFACTION

- Overall satisfaction with the U.S. visitor's experience on Guam is driven by two significant factors in the 2nd Quarter 2019 Period. By rank order they are:
 - Entertainment, and
 - Roads.
- With these factors the overall r² is .425 meaning that **42.5% of overall** satisfaction is accounted for by these factors.







DRIVERS – ONISLE EXPENDITURES

Comparison of Drivers of Per Person On Island Expenditures 2nd Qtr. 2019	
	2nd Qtr. 2019
Drivers:	rank
Entertainment	
Shopping	
Dining	
Beaches	
Parks	
Roads	
Sightseeing Areas	
Being a safe and secure destination	
% of Overall Satisfaction Accounted For:	0.0%
NOTE: Only significant drivers are included.	







DRIVERS – ONISLE EXPENDITURES

• **Per Person On Island Expenditure** of U.S. visitors on Guam is driven by no significant factor in the 2nd Quarter 2019 Period.





